	Case 3:08-cv-01517-PJH	Document 21	Filed 06/18/2008	Page 1 of 15
1	JONATHAN ALLAN KLI			
2	ANNMARIE M. LIERMA KELLY, HOCKEL & KL	EIN P.C	.6)	
3	44 Montgomery Street, San Francisco, CA 941 Tel.: (415) 951-0535 Fax: (415) 391-7808	Suite 2500 04-4798		
4	Tel.: (415) 951-0535 Fax: (415) 391-7808			
5				
6	Attorneys for Defendan RITE AID CORPORATION	it ON		
7				
8		JNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COUR	Γ
9	NC	RTHERN DIST	RICT OF CALIFORI	NIA
10	   KIMBERLY BEAGLE,		) Case No.: CV08	-1517-PJH
11	Plaintiff,		ANSWER TO E	MPLOYMENT
12	vs.		DISCRIMINATI	ON COMPLAINT;
13	   RITE AID CORPORATION	ON; and DOES	DEMAND FOR	JURY TRIAL
14	1 TO 100, INCLUSIVE,			
15	Defendant	s.		
16 17				
18				
19	Defendant Rite Aid hereby answers Plaintiff's Employment Discrimination			oyment Discrimination
20	Complaint as follows:			
21				
22		<del>-</del> -		te Aid admits to the
23		s of that paragra	_	
24				te Aid admits to the
25				to the identity of all
26				mation or knowledge
27			ruth of those alleg	
28				te Aid admits to the
-	allegations	s of that paragra	aph.	
	ANGUATO			CASE NO. CV-08-1517-PJF
	ANSWER			CASE NO. CV-08-131/-FJF
	11			

- 1 4. 2 County. 3 4 5. 5 6 7 basis. 8 6. 9 10 basis. 11 7. 12 13 14 8. 15 16 17 9. 18 19 20 10. 21 22 11. 23 24 12. 25 26 27 28
  - 4. Answering Paragraph 4 of the Complaint, Rite Aid admits that Defendant's actions are alleged to have occurred in Humboldt County.
  - 5. Answering Paragraph 5 of the Complaint, Rite Aid lacks sufficient information or knowledge to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of that paragraph and denies the allegations on that basis.
  - 6. Answering Paragraph 6 of the Complaint, Rite Aid lacks sufficient information or knowledge to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of that paragraph and denies the allegations on that basis.
  - 7. Answering Paragraph 7 of the Complaint, Rite Aid can neither admit nor deny the allegations of that paragraph as that paragraph contains only conclusions of law.
  - 8. Answering Paragraph 8 of the Complaint, Rite Aid admits the allegations of that paragraph.
  - 9. Answering Paragraph 9 of the Complaint, Rite Aid admits to the allegations in the first two sentences but Rite Aid denies the allegations of the remainder of that paragraph.
  - 10. Answering Paragraph 10 of the Complaint, Rite Aid denies the allegations of that paragraph.
  - 11. Answering Paragraph 11 of the Complaint, Rite Aid denies the allegations of that paragraph.
  - 12. Answering Paragraph 12 of the Complaint, Rite Aid denies that
    Plaintiff ever complained to Assistant Manager Lezlee Pough about
    Mr. Young's behavior. Rite Aid lacks sufficient information or
    knowledge to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations
    regarding why Plaintiff did not complain to Leon Comerer and so

- denies those allegations on that basis. Answering the second

  Paragraph 12 (sic), Rite Aid admits that it promoted Mr. Young to
  the Shift Supervisor position, but denies the remainder of the
  allegations in that paragraph.
- 13. Answering Paragraph 13 of the Complaint, Rite Aid lacks sufficient information or knowledge to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations regarding when during Plaintiff's shift the action alleged in Paragraph 13 occurred. Rite Aid denies the remainder of the allegations in Paragraph 13.
- Answering Paragraph 14 of the Complaint, Rite Aid denies that 14. Plaintiff first returned to work after the alleged incident on July 8, 2005, as alleged in Paragraph 14, but admits that Plaintiff first talked to Mr. Comerer of July 6, 2005 conduct by Mr. Young on July 8, 2005. Rite Aid denies that Mr. Comerer "did not take her complaint seriously" and rolled his eyes in response to Plaintiff's complaint. Rite Aid lacks sufficient information or knowledge to form a belief as to the allegation that Plaintiff told Mr. Comerer he needed to address Mr. Young, but denies that Mr. Comerer told Plaintiff to "get to work." Rite Aid lacks sufficient information or knowledge to form a belief as to when Mr. Comerer left work on July 8, 2005, but admits that Mr. Young arrived at work at around 12 p.m. that day. Rite Aid lacks sufficient information or knowledge to form a belief as to what Plaintiff knew about Mr. Young being addressed, or the lack thereof, and why Plaintiff stated that she could not work for the remainder of that day but denies that Mr. Young was the only supervisor on duty when Plaintiff went home on July 8, 2005. Rite Aid lacks sufficient information or knowledge about what Ms. Hussey told Plaintiff that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

.9.

- day about being excused from work. Rite Aid admits that on July 9, 2005, Plaintiff was medically evaluated, diagnosed with a neck strain, and given a note to be excused from work.
- 15. Answering Paragraph 15 of the Complaint, Rite Aid lacks sufficient information or knowledge to form a belief as to the allegations of that paragraph and denies them on that basis.
- 16. Answering Paragraph 16 of the Complaint, Rite Aid admits that
  Plaintiff's complaint against Mr. Young was known by some of the
  store's employees but denies the remainder of the allegations of
  that paragraph.
- 17. Answering Paragraph 17 of the Complaint, Rite Aid denies the allegations of that paragraph except for the allegations that a doctor placed her on medical leave for two days and that Plaintiff had her prescriptions filled at the Fortuna Rite Aid store, which Rite Aid admits.
- 18. Answering Paragraph 18 of the Complaint, Rite Aid lacks sufficient information or knowledge to form a belief as to the allegations of that paragraph and denies those allegations on that basis.
- 19. Answering Paragraph 19 of the Complaint, Rite Aid denies the allegations of that paragraph except to admit that the DFEH was unable to arrange a settlement of Plaintiff's claim and Rite Aid terminated Plaintiff's employment in April 2007.
- 20. Answering Paragraph 20 of the Complaint, Rite Aid admits to the allegations of that paragraph.
- 21. Answering Paragraph 21 of the Complaint, Rite Aid admits that the DFEH issued Plaintiff a Right to Sue Notice on April 3, 2007 and that Plaintiff filed her action within a year of that date, but denies the remainder of the allegations in that paragraph.

ANSWER CASE NO. CV-08-1517-PJH

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	11
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

- 22. Answering Paragraph 22 of the Complaint, Rite Aid admits that Plaintiff mailed Rite Aid's registered agent for service of process a copy of the amended DFEH complaint on February 6, 2008. Rite Aid admits that the DFEH served a copy of Plaintiff's DFEH complaint on Rite Aid on June 22, 2006. Rite Aid denies that Plaintiff served Rite Aid with anything on March 5, 2008. Rite Aid admits that informal efforts to resolve Plaintiff's DFEH complaint were unsuccessful, but denies that Plaintiff had "no choice" but to file this lawsuit.
- 23. Answering Paragraph 23 of the Complaint, Rite Aid denies the allegations of that paragraph.
- 24. Answering Paragraph 24 of the Complaint, Rite Aid denies the allegations of that paragraph.
- 25. Answering Paragraph 25 of the Complaint, Rite Aid realleges and restates its responses to Paragraphs 1 through 24 and incorporates them by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 26. Answering Paragraph 26 of the Complaint, Rite Aid can neither admit nor deny the allegations of that paragraph, as that paragraph contains only statements of the law.
- 27. Answering Paragraph 27 of the Complaint, Rite Aid admits the allegations of that paragraph.
- 28. Answering Paragraph 28 of the Complaint, Rite Aid denies the allegations of that paragraph.
- 29. Answering Paragraph 29 of the Complaint, Rite Aid denies the allegations of that paragraph.
- 30. Answering Paragraph 30 of the Complaint, Rite Aid denies the allegations of that paragraph.

28

26

1	31.	Answering Paragraph 31 of the Complaint, Rite Aid denies the
2		allegations of that paragraph.
3	32.	[Rite Aid need not respond to Paragraph 32, as the Court
4		dismissed Plaintiff's disability harassment claim.]
5	33.	[Rite Aid need not respond to Paragraph 33, as the Court
6		dismissed Plaintiff's disability harassment claim.]
7	34.	[Rite Aid need not respond to Paragraph 34, as the Court
8		dismissed Plaintiff's disability harassment claim.]
9-	35.	[Rite Aid need not respond to Paragraph 35, as the Court
10		dismissed Plaintiff's disability harassment claim.]
11	36.	[Rite Aid need not respond to Paragraph 36, as the Court
12		dismissed Plaintiff's disability harassment claim.]
13	37.	[Rite Aid need not respond to Paragraph 37, as the Court
14		dismissed Plaintiff's disability harassment claim.]
15	38.	[Rite Aid need not respond to Paragraph 38, as the Court
16		dismissed Plaintiff's disability harassment claim.]
17	39.	[Rite Aid need not respond to Paragraph 39, as the Court
18		dismissed Plaintiff's disability harassment claim.]
19	40.	Answering Paragraph 40 of the Complaint, Rite Aid realleges and
20		restates its responses to Paragraphs 1 through 39 and
21		incorporates them by reference as if fully set forth herein.
22	41.	Answering Paragraph 41 of the Complaint, Rite Aid can neither
23		admit nor deny the allegations of that paragraph, as that
24		paragraph contains only statements of the law.
25	42.	Answering Paragraph 42 of the Complaint, Rite Aid admits the
26		allegations of that paragraph.
27	43.	Answering Paragraph 43 of the Complaint, Rite Aid denies the
28		allegations of that paragraph.
	E	

1	44.	Answering Paragraph 44 of the Complaint, Rite Aid denies the
2		allegations of that paragraph.
3	45.	Answering Paragraph 45 of the Complaint, Rite Aid denies the
4		allegations of that paragraph.
5	46.	Answering Paragraph 46 of the Complaint, Rite Aid denies the
6		allegations of that paragraph.
7	47.	Answering Paragraph 47 of the Complaint, Rite Aid denies the
8		allegations of that paragraph.
9-	48.	Answering Paragraph 48 of the Complaint, Rite Aid realleges and
10		restates its responses to Paragraphs 1 through 47 and
11		incorporates them by reference as if fully set forth herein.
12	49.	Answering Paragraph 49 of the Complaint, Rite Aid can neither
13		admit nor deny the allegations of that paragraph, as that
14		paragraph contains only statements of the law.
15	50.	Answering Paragraph 50 of the Complaint, Rite Aid admits the
16		allegations of that paragraph.
17	51.	Answering Paragraph 51 of the Complaint, Rite Aid denies the
18		allegations of that paragraph.
19	52.	Answering Paragraph 52 of the Complaint, Rite Aid denies the
20		allegations of that paragraph.
21	53.	Answering Paragraph 53 of the Complaint, Rite Aid denies the
22		allegations of that paragraph.
23	54.	Answering Paragraph 54 of the Complaint, Rite Aid denies the
24		allegations of that paragraph.
25	55.	Answering Paragraph 55 of the Complaint, Rite Aid denies the
26		allegations of that paragraph.
27		
28	and the same of th	
1	I	

1	68.	Answering Paragraph 68 of the Complaint, Rite Aid denies the
2		allegations of that paragraph.
3	69.	Answering Paragraph 69 of the Complaint, Rite Aid realleges and
4		restates its responses to Paragraphs 1 through 68 and
5		incorporates them by reference as if fully set forth herein.
6	70.	Answering Paragraph 70 of the Complaint, Rite Aid can neither
7		admit nor deny the allegations of that paragraph, as that
8		paragraph contains only statements of the law.
_9_	71	Answering Paragraph 71 of the Complaint, Rite Aid admits that it
10		was Plaintiff's employer at the time of the actions alleged in the
11		complaint. Rite Aid denies the remainder of the allegations of that
12		paragraph.
13	72.	Answering Paragraph 72 of the Complaint, Rite Aid denies the
14		allegations of that paragraph.
15	73.	Answering Paragraph 73 of the Complaint, Rite Aid denies the
16		allegations of that paragraph.
17	74.	Answering Paragraph 74 of the Complaint, Rite Aid denies the
18		allegations of that paragraph.
19	75.	Answering Paragraph 75 of the Complaint, Rite Aid realleges and
20		restates its responses to Paragraphs 1 through 74 and
21		incorporates them by reference as if fully set forth herein.
22	76.	Answering Paragraph 76 of the Complaint, Rite Aid denies the
23		allegations of that paragraph.
24	77.	Answering Paragraph 77 of the Complaint, Rite Aid denies the
25		allegations of that paragraph.
26	78.	Answering Paragraph 78 of the Complaint, Rite Aid denies the
27		allegations of that paragraph.
28		
	i .	

1	79.	Answering Paragraph 79 of the Complaint, Rite Aid denies the
2		allegations of that paragraph.
3	80.	Answering Paragraph 80 of the Complaint, Rite Aid denies the
4		allegations of that paragraph.
5	81.	Answering Paragraph 81 of the Complaint, Rite Aid realleges and
6		restates its responses to Paragraphs 1 through 80 and
7		incorporates them by reference as if fully set forth herein.
8	82.	Answering Paragraph 82 of the Complaint, Rite Aid denies the
9_	a dalah d	allegations of that paragraph.
10	83.	Answering Paragraph 83 of the Complaint, Rite Aid denies the
11	***	allegations of that paragraph.
12	84.	Answering Paragraph 84 of the Complaint, Rite Aid denies the
13		allegations of that paragraph.
14	85.	Answering Paragraph 85 of the Complaint, Rite Aid denies the
15		allegations of that paragraph.
16	86.	Answering Paragraph 86 of the Complaint, Rite Aid denies the
17	TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT	allegations of that paragraph.
18	87.	Answering Paragraph 87 of the Complaint, Rite Aid realleges and
19		restates its responses to Paragraphs 1 through 86 and
20		incorporates them by reference as if fully set forth herein.
21	88.	Answering Paragraph 88 of the Complaint, Rite Aid can neither
22		admit nor deny the allegations of that paragraph, as that
23		paragraph contains only statements of the law.
24	89.	Answering Paragraph 89 of the Complaint, Rite Aid denies the
25		allegations of that paragraph.
26	90.	Answering Paragraph 90 of the Complaint, Rite Aid denies the
27	***************************************	allegations of that paragraph.
-28		

1	103.	Answering Paragraph 103 of the Complaint, Rite Aid denies the
2		allegations of that paragraph.
3	104.	Answering Paragraph 104 of the Complaint, Rite Aid denies the
4		allegations of that paragraph.
5	105.	Answering Paragraph 105 of the Complaint, Rite Aid denies the
6		allegations of that paragraph.
7		AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES
8		FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
_9	As a	first and separate affirmative defense to the Complaint, Rite Aid
10	alleges that	the Complaint and each cause of action therein is barred as
11	Plaintiff fail	ed to timely and properly exhaust her administrative remedies.
12		SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
13	As a second and separate affirmative defense to the Complaint, Rite Aid	
14	alleges that the Complaint, and each cause of action alleged therein, is barred	
15	by various	statutory periods of limitations, including but not limited to those in
16	California (	Government Code sections 12960 and 12965(b), and those in
17	California C	Code of Civil Procedure sections 312 through 366.2.
18		THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
19	Asa	third and separate affirmative defense to the Complaint, Rite Aid
20	alleges that	Plaintiff's claims are barred as she failed to mitigate her damages.
21		FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
22	Asa	fourth and separate affirmative defense to the Complaint, Rite Aid
23	alleges that	the Complaint is barred in whole or in part by the exclusive remedy
24	doctrine of	California's Workers' Compensation laws, inter alia, at California
25	Labor Code	section 3600, et seq.
26		
27		
28		
MASSTTOTTENA		

#### FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a fifth and separate affirmative defense to the Complaint, Rite Aid alleges that each and every claim alleged in the Complaint is barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrines of waiver and consent.

## SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a sixth and separate affirmative defense to the Complaint, Rite Aid alleges that Plaintiff is estopped by reason of her own conduct, acts or omissions, or those of her agents, from recovering against Rite Aid on any purported cause of action contained in the Complaint.

## SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a seventh and separate affirmative defense to the Complaint, Rite Aid alleges that each and every claim alleged in the Complaint is barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of unclean hands.

#### EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As an eighth and separate affirmative defense to the Complaint, Rite Aid alleges that it: (a) exercised reasonable care to prevent and correct promptly any unlawfully discriminatory behavior and (b) Plaintiff unreasonably failed to take advantage of any preventative and corrective opportunities provided by Rite Aid to avoid harm otherwise.

## NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a ninth and separate affirmative defense to the Complaint, Rite Aid alleges that the claims set forth in the Complaint are barred to the extent that Rite Aid undertook a prompt, effective, and reasonable investigation of the matters alleged.

#### TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As an tenth and separate affirmative defense to the Complaint, Rite Aid alleges that it did not have timely knowledge, constructive or otherwise, of the inappropriate behavior alleged in the Complaint.

#### **ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

As an eleventh and separate affirmative defense to the Complaint, Rite Aid alleges that Plaintiff has unreasonably delayed in bringing this action to Rite Aid's prejudice, and is therefore barred from bringing this action by the doctrine of laches.

# TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a twelfth and separate affirmative defense to the Complaint, Rite Aid alleges that Plaintiff's claims are barred to the extent that she engaged in any fraud or misconduct which, if known, would have caused Plaintiff not to be hired or to be terminated before the date of her actual termination.

#### THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a thirteenth and separate affirmative defense to the Complaint, Rite Aid alleges that all of its acts and omissions relative to the terms and conditions of Plaintiff's employment at Rite Aid were predicated upon legitimate, non-discriminatory reasons and Rite Aid's legitimate business interests.

#### FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a fourteenth and separate affirmative defense to the Complaint, Rite Aid alleges that Plaintiff may not recover punitive damages for discriminatory employment decisions contrary to the policies Rite Aid has instituted in good faith against wrongful conduct.

#### FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a fifteenth and separate affirmative defense to the Complaint, Rite Aid alleges that each and every claim alleged in the Complaint is barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of equity.

. .

### 1 SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 2 As a sixteenth and separate affirmative defense, Rite Aid alleges that all 3 of its actions as alleged in the Complaint were reasonable and taken in good faith. 4 5 WHEREFORE, Rite Aid prays for judgment in its favor as follows: 6 1. That Plaintiff take nothing by way of her Complaint; 7 2. That Rite Aid be awarded the costs of suit and attorneys' 8 fees; and 9 3. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just 10 and proper. 11 DATED: June 18, 2008 KELLY, HOCKEL & KLEIN P.C. 12 13 /s/ Annmarie M. Liermann JONATHAN ALLAN KLEIN 14 ANNMARIE M. LIERMANN 15 Attorneys for Defendant RITE AID CORPORATION 16 17 DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL 18 Defendant hereby demands trial by jury. 19 20 DATED: June 18, 2008 KELLY, HOCKEL & KLEIN P.C. 21 /s/ Annmarie M. Liermann 22 JONATHAN ALLAN KLEIN 23 ANNMARIE M. LIERMANN Attorneys for Defendant 24 RITE AID CORPORATION 25 26 27 28